

APPENDIX B – STATEMENT OF EQUIVALENCY GUIDELINES

Please provide a Curriculum and Assessment Statement of Equivalency for each discipline that partners with your concurrent enrollment program to offer courses to your respective high schools.

The faculty liaison should write the statement, explaining how they ensure the concurrent enrollment program courses are equivalent to the courses taught on campus.

This statement should include the handling of academic freedom, student learning outcomes, syllabi review, assessment review, grading standards, and theoretical/philosophical orientation of the on-campus department. If there are differences between concurrent enrollment program and on-campus standards, include a rationale for the differences and explain the process used to affirm that concurrent enrollment program and on-campus learning objectives are aligned.

FORMAT AND WRITING THE STATEMENT:

The statement should be written on departmental or college/university letterhead and include:

1. An introductory paragraph that identifies the statement's author, the discipline they represent, role at the university, length with the program, and role in concurrent enrollment program (i.e. faculty liaison, department chair, etc.)
2. The letter should be broken down into the following headings with responses to each section beneath it:
 - I. Academic Freedom
 - II. Student Learning Outcomes
 - III. Syllabus Review
 - IV. Assessment Review
 - V. Grading Standards
 - VI. Theoretical/Philosophical Orientation
3. The letter should be signed by the author verifying the authenticity of the statement. Electronic signatures are appropriate.

NACEP has provided a list of guiding questions after the guidelines to help faculty with the specific areas noted in the letter. The guiding questions help focus faculty on the specific items that the Accreditation Commission is most interested in. Please note that the questions are there as suggested topics to address with the responses of the above headings.

NACEP STATEMENT OF EQUIVALENCY GUIDING QUESTIONS

1. Academic Freedom:

How does the college or department define academic freedom? What level of variation might occur across campus sections of the same course?

To what extent is academic freedom permitted in the CE course? How does it compare to that allowed on campus?

2. Student Learning Outcomes:

- How are the learning outcomes for your courses developed within your department? If learning outcomes are not the same across sections of a course, describe the department's approach and extent of variation in campus and concurrent enrollment program learning outcomes.
- How do you assure that concurrent enrollment program instructors are teaching to the student learning outcomes (i.e. orientation, professional development, site visits, etc.)? If relevant, describe an experience when a concurrent enrollment program instructor was not adhering to the expectations for the course.
- How are department revisions to student learning outcomes communicated to concurrent enrollment program instructors?

3. Syllabi Review:

When are new syllabi initially reviewed and approved? Who conducts this review?

Detail the approach to evaluating a new syllabus, including the minimum components or areas of most importance. If not described above, address how consistent learning outcomes are assured. How are any required changes to a new syllabus communicated?

Beyond the initial review, explain how the department ensures concurrent enrollment program syllabi are up to date.

Discuss any important differences between the execution of the course on campus and in the concurrent enrollment program, addressing how the syllabus upholds the integrity of the college course.

4. Assessment Review:

Describe how your department assures that concurrent enrollment program assessments are comparable in rigor to those on campus (i.e., share samples from campus, review concurrent enrollment program assessments, professional development, etc.).

- Describe how your department assures that grading standards are comparable between the concurrent enrollment program and campus course (i.e., review of syllabi and graded work, rubrics, grade norming, assessment data collection, etc.). This goes beyond grading scales, including how assignments are graded and how final grades are calculated.

5. Grading Standards

Describe your department's philosophy on grading standards and how this is communicated to the concurrent enrollment instructors.

6. Theoretical/Philosophical Orientation of the On-Campus Department:

- What is your department's approach to the discipline? Are there certain hallmarks or best practices? How is this philosophy or approach reflected in the concurrent enrollment program courses?
- How do concurrent enrollment program courses, instructors, and students fit into your department or program's goals, outcomes, or structure? For example, to what extent are concurrent enrollment program instructors treated like adjuncts or included in decision-making, meetings, etc.? To what extent is the concurrent enrollment program considered in departmental discussions of identity, policy or program changes, and future courses or degrees?
- Describe how your department builds relationships with concurrent enrollment program instructors and students.