### Minutes of the

# Eighth Regular Meeting of the Fourteenth Senate Indiana University-Purdue University Fort Wayne April 10 and 17, 1995 Noon, Kettler G46

### Agenda

- 1. Call to order
- 2. Approval of the minutes of March 13, 1995
- 3. Acceptance of the agenda N. Younis
- 4. Reports of the Speakers of the Faculties
  - a. Indiana University S. Hollander
  - b. Purdue University R. Barrett
- 5. Report of the Presiding Officer (Senate Reference No. 94-26) M. Downs
- 6. Committee reports requiring action
  - Nominations and Elections Committee (Senate Reference No. 94-27) D.
    Legg
  - b. Educational Policy Committee (Senate Document SD 94-22) S. Sarratore
  - c. Educational Policy Committee (Senate Document SD 94-23) S. Sarratore
  - d. Faculty Affairs Committee (Senate Document SD 94-24) J. Clausen
  - e. Faculty Affairs Committee (Senate Document SD 94-25) J. Clausen
  - f. Faculty Affairs Committee (Senate Document SD 94-26) J. Clausen
  - g. Faculty Affairs Committee (Senate Document SD 94-27) J. Clausen
  - h. Rules Committee (Senate Document SD 94-28) S. Hollander
  - i. Rules Committee (Senate Document SD 94-29) S. Hollander
  - j. Rules Committee (Senate Document SD 94-30) S. Hollander
  - k. Agenda Committee. (Senate Document SD 94-31) N. Younis No
- 7. New business
- 8. Committee reports "for information only"
  - a. Agenda Committee (Senate Reference No., 94-28) N. Younis
  - b. Educational Policy Committee (Senate Reference No. 94-29) S. Sarratore
  - c. Agenda Committee (Senate Reference No. 94-30) N. Younis
  - d. Budgetary Affairs Subcommittee (Senate Reference No. 94-31) P. Iadicola
  - e. Computer Users Advisory Subcommittee (Senate Reference No. 94-32) B. Abbott
- 9. The general good and welfare of the University
- 10. Memorial resolution: Harold Crowder (Senate Reference No. 94-33)
- 11. Adjournment\*

#### Attachments:

"Senate Election Results" (SR No. 94-34)

<sup>&</sup>quot;Advanced Study Criteria" (SD 94-22)

<sup>&</sup>quot;Changing the Advanced Study Criteria in SD 93-14" (SD 94-23)

<sup>&</sup>quot;Baccalaureate-level general education at IPFW" (SD 93-14, as amended)

<sup>&</sup>quot;Amendment to SD 88-25 (Campus-Wide Criteria for Promotion and Tenure)" (SD 94-24 - recommitted)

- "Amendment to Tenure Stop-Out Policy (SD 91-20, amended and approved, 4/13/1992)" (SD 94-25)
- "Extension of the Probationary Period for Justifiable Cause" (SD 91-20, as amended)
- "Revision of Senate Bylaws, Section 5.3.2.2.1 (Promotion and Tenure Subcommittee Membership)" (SD 94-26)
- "Amendment to SD 88-13 (Procedures for Promotion and Tenure, as amended through 11/14/1994)" (SD 94-27)
- "Procedures for Promotion and Tenure" (SD 88-13, as amended)
- "Amendment to the Bylaws-Establishment of the Library Subcommittee" (SD 94-28)
- "Amendment to the Bylaws--Change in membership of the University Resources Policy Committee" (SD 94-29)
- "Amendment to the Bylaws--Change in membership of the Calendar Subcommittee" (SD 94-30)
- "Dissolution of Ad Hoc Committee on Writing" (SD 94-31)
- "Charge to the Educational Policy Committee to Study IPFW's Relationship with the Indiana Partnership for Statewide Education (IPSE)" (SD 94-32)

Note: Copies of the Bylaws, as amended, are available upon request.

Presiding Officer: M. Downs Parliamentarian: M. Sherr Sergeant-at-Arms: J. Wilson

Secretary: B. Blauvelt

### Session I (April 10)

#### Senate Members Present:

S: Argast, R. Barrett, R. Berger, F. Borelli, N. Bradley, W. Branson, B. Bulmahn, C. Champion, J. Clausen, N. Cothern, D. Cox, V. Craig, L. DeFonso, D. Edwards, D. Fairchild, O. Freiburger, S. Frey-Ridgway, R. Gillespie, J. Haw, R. Hess, C. Hill, S. Hollander, R. Kendall, F. Kirchhoff, L. Kuznar, T. Laverghetta, C. Lawton, D. Legg, M. Masters, L. Motz, G. Mourad, S. Sarratore, D. Schmidt, J. Smulkstys, C. Sternberger, P. Stubblebine, J. Taylor, P. Terry, C. Thompson, M. H. Thuente, K. Trier, W. Utesch, M. Wartell, N. Younis, Y. Zubovic

#### Senate Members Absent:

J. Grant, R. Hawley, J. Hersberger, G. Kartsounes, M. Lane, P. Lane, H. Samavati, L. Schlager, Z. Slupchandler, E. Waters, L. Wootton,

Representative *from* Medical Education: D. Koritnik

Faculty Members Present: B. Abbott, L. Balthaser, V. Coufoudalds, P. Iadicola, D. Pfeffenberger

Visitors Present: R. Borst, J. Dahl, N. Newell

1. <u>Call to order: M. Downs called the meeting to order at 12:01 p.m.</u>

- 2. <u>Approval of the minutes of March 13. 1995;</u> The minutes were approved as distributed.
- 3. Acceptance of the agenda:

N. Younis moved to accept the agenda. Seconded.

The agenda was approved as distributed.

- 4. Reports of the Speakers of the Faculties:
  - a. Indiana University:
    - S. Hollander: The IU University Faculty Council will meet tomorrow. The raging topic of debate on e-mail over the last few weeks has been clinical ranks. We are not much affected by any of that discussion because we have a very small number of clinical faculty in Dental Education. Other than that, there's nothing of interest going on in Indiana University.
  - b. Purdue University:
    - R. Barrett: Six people were elected to serve on the Purdue University Faculty Grievance Board; they are: Roberta Tierney, Nursing; Sunday Faseyitan, Manufacturing Technology; Mitchell Sherr, Organizational Leadership and Supervision; Jeff Wilson, Psychology; David Fairchild, Philosophy; and Tim Hamilton, Civil and Architectural Engineering Technology.
- 5. Report of the Presiding Officer (Senate Reference No. 94-26):
  - M. Downs: I want to begin my report by yielding some time to Randy Borst, who is here to provide us with some information.
  - R. Borst: Thanks for inviting me today. I would like to present to the (faculty) Senate for your information a handbook that we have been working on for a couple of years. The handbook is titled Access to *the* Academic Programs of IPFW for *People with Disabilities*. A Handbook for Students, Faculty, and Staff. This really came out of the process of implementing the Americans with Disabilities Act on campus. The Act kind of forced us to formalize the processes that we had been doing informally for many years, which had been working arguably well: This Handbook is for one audience. Some schools are doing a book for students and another book, for faculty, but we thought we would try one audience. Consequently, there are some topics covered which may not be of interest to everyone. We have attempted to compensate for that by putting things in query format. There are a total of 43 questions with brief answers. There are questions about admissions, parking, legalities—what is ADA, what is Section 504—those kinds of things. There is a section on accessibility (defining it), how to reschedule classrooms, testing procedures, etc.

There is a topic index at the end. Unfortunately, the index didn't make it through the spell checker--there are two typos. This is a pre-production version. You will be getting in your campus mail a much nicer looking, better-bound version of this. I did bring enough pre-production copies that anyone who wants one can have one. I'll take any questions you have. (There were no questions.)

M. Downs: I would like to read to you a short note I sent to the Educational Policy Committee about the Indiana Partnership for Statewide Education (IPSE):

The Working Group of the Indiana Partnership for Statewide Education (IPSE) hosted a meeting of representatives from the campuses of public universities and IVY Tech in Indianapolis on 24 March. After 2'fi years of work, the Group had reached a point where it thought faculty input would be useful. The Group may also have hoped that distance education, as the Group conceives it, would be embraced enthusiastically by the faculty attending. It was not.

Instead, the policy recommendations of the Group were rejected, the working methods of the Group were roundly criticized, and the issues it wanted to discuss raised doubts about the direction IPSE was taking. Faculty on this campus should consider a number of these issues and prepare positions on them. The Educational Policy Committee is the appropriate place for this process to begin. I hope that the prospect of an "Indiana University of the Air" offering courses for credit and grades on this campus, taught by faculty from all over, regulated by administrators acting without any restraint from a faculty governance system, responsible, at last, to a partnership in which we are represented by Bloomington and West Lafayette is chilling enough to attract our attention and focus our efforts:

Anybody who thinks that what is being formulated and put into shape by the Partnership is not important and will not have an impact on this campus is mistaken. I sent this report to the Educational Policy Committee (EPC). I understand that no action is being taken at this time, but I do hope members address these issues in the fall because IPSE will have an impact on the amount of competition that we have from other state institutions. There were also important questions raised about copyright, about course quality and quality control, and the degree to which faculty throughout the state will be involved in any of the policies which are going to be approved by the partnership. What strikes at the heart of my concern is that Indiana University-Purdue University Fort Wayne has no place in this structure except as it reports through Bloomington or West Lafayette. Votes cast expressing institutional opinion about these matters are cast by the public universities of the state. We are not one of the public universities of the state. We have even less direct influence than Vincennes and small campuses with fewer faculty and fewer students. They have more direct representation and more political influence on the policy of the Partnership than this campus does. I think we need to take care next year in regard to this.

The other item I want to bring up is the Noel-Levitz report which has been commented upon in *The Communicator*. Copies of the report are now in the Library. I recommend it to all of you because it brings us important news about this place and our ability to attract and retain students. I am going to exercise the paltry power that I have as Presiding Officer of

this group to call several faculty to sit with me from time to time this summer, along, with administrators, to develop a method by which we can take up these recommendations and findings so as to organize the faculty to take effective action. Whatever we do prepare will be brought to the Senate for consideration and approval. I intend to ask the Chancellor to highlight the findings at the first convocation in the fall. I hope that the faculty Senate will have and be able to consider a plan of action which will focus our attention during the next year on the Noel-Levitz findings. I don't want to keep you in suspense. The findings uncover serious problems on this campus in regard to recruitment and retention and make recommendations which should be seriously considered and either accepted and institutionalized or rejected. I have spoken with the Interim Academic Vice Chancellor and with Vice Chancellor Borelli and they agree that it is important enough to be the centerpiece of campus effort next year. I am hoping that when we come back in the fall I will be able to persuade all of you that it is that serious. In the meantime, I hope that you will look at it; I will call on the speakers of the two faculties and others who have been involved in the process of developing the questions and issues that were reported on.

It is my observation that there are a number of committees this year that have no business because they report no business. We have other committees, which, while they conduct business, for some reason or other, do not submit reports. This is sloppy administration and it reflects on me as the officer responsible for coordinating this work. I have not birddogged anybody this year about these matters, but since I am going to be in this position next year, I will. I am also going to ask the Rules Committee to look carefully at the committee structure with a view to dissolving committees for which there seems to be no regular or useful purpose. I have asked for committee chairs who were unable to submit reports in a timely fashion to report to us at this meeting. Next year I hope I won't have to talk about this issue again.

## 6. Committee reports requiring action:

a. Nominations and Elections Committee (Senate Reference No. 94-27.- D. Legg:

David Legg conducted the election of Senate Committees and Subcommittees. He announced that Marcia Dixson asked that her name be removed from the Professional Development Subcommittee ballot and he also opened the floor for nominations (there were none). Results are attached (SR No. 94-34).

b. <u>S. Sarratore moved to approve SD 94-22</u> (Advanced Study Criteria).

Motion to approve passed on a voice vote.

Educational Policy Committee (SD 94-23) - S. Sarratore:
 S. Sarratore moved to approve SD 94-23 (Changing the Advanced Study Criteria in SD 93-14).

Motion to approve passed on a voice vote.

d. <u>J. Clausen moved to approve</u> SD 94-24 (Amendment to SD 88-25 [Campus-Wide Criteria for Promotion and Tenure]).

B. Bulmahn moved to recommit SD 94-24.

Motion to recommit passed on a voice vote.

e. <u>J. Clausen moved to approve</u> SD 94-25 (Amendment to Tenure Stop-Out Policy [SD 91-20, amended and approved, 4/13/1992]).

Motion to approve passed on a voice vote.

f. Faculty Affairs Committee S D 94-26) - J. Clausen:

<u>J. Clausen moved to approve</u> SD 94-26 (Revision of Senate Bylaws, Section 5.3.2.2.1 [Promotion and Tenure Subcommittee Membership]).

Motion to approve passed on a show of hands.

g. Faculty Affairs Committee (SDS 94-27) – J. Clausen:

<u>J. Clausen moved to approve</u> SD 94-27 (Amendment to SD 88-13 [Procedures for Promotion and Tenure, as amended through 11/14/1994]).

Motion to approve passed on a voice vote.

Note: S. Sarratore raised a question regarding the nature of creative endeavor in the Theatre Department and the fact that SD 94-27 would seem always to preclude a theatre faculty member from voting on another theatre faculty. member's case if they were serving at the campus level.

The Presiding Officer asked that next year's Faculty Affairs Committee address the question.

h. Rules Committee (SD 94-28) - S. Hollander:

<u>S. Hollander moved to approve</u> SD 94-28 (Amendment to the Bylaws--Establishment of the Library Subcommittee).

Motion to approve passed on a voice vote.

i. Rules Committee (SD 94-29) - S. Hollander:

<u>S. Hollander moved to approve</u> SD 94-29 (Amendment to the Bylaws-Change in membership of the University Resources Policy Committee).

Motion to approve passed on a voice vote.

## j. Rules Committee (SD 94-30,) - S. Hollander:

S. Hollander moved to approve SD 94-30 (Amendment to the Bylaws--Change in membership of the Calendar Subcommittee).

Motion to approve passed on a voice vote.

# k. Agenda Committee (SD 94-31):

<u>B. Bulmahn moved to approve</u> SD 94-31 (Dissolution of Ad Hoc Committee on Writing).

Motion to approve passed on a voice vote.

## 7. New business:

J. Haw moved to approve SD 94-32 (Charge to Educational Policy Committee to study IPFW's relationship with the Indiana Partnership for Statewide Education), which was handed out at the door. Seconded.

Motion passed on a voice vote.

Because the Senate was near recess time and because Chancellor Wartell could not attend the Senate meeting on April 17, he asked for special consideration to make the following report:

M. Wartell: This is just a very quick announcement and actually it fits into the Presiding Officer's description of committees that have ceased to be functional because they have no business. I believe the Lecture Series Subcommittee was one of those committees. We have just been informed that we will be given a three-year, \$50,000/yr. gift for a speakers series. The way we will probably set that up is to give administrative support to that to make the Lecture Series Subcommittee part of a greater-community campus committee to set up the series for next year. That will be a significant speakers series for this campus. We envisage four or five speakers for that money. Also, m the next few days you will hear that we have, thanks to Students' Government not putting on a concert this year, Joycelyn Elders coming on April 25 and William Buckley coming on April 27.

The meeting recessed at 1:15 p.m. until Monday, April 17.

Session II April 17)

Senate Members Present:

S. Argast, R. Barrett, R. Berger, N. Bradley, B. Bulmahn, J. Clausen, D. Cox, V. Craig, D. Fairchild, O. Freiburger, R. Gillespie, J. Grant, J. Haw, R. Hawley, J. Hersberger, C. Hill, S. Hollander, F. Kirchhoff, L. Kuznar, M. Lane, P. Lane, T. Laverghetta, D. Legg, L. Motz, G. Mourad, H. Samavati, D. Schmidt, Z. Shipchandler, J. Smulkstys, C. Sternberger, P. Stubblebine, J. Taylor, C. Thompson, M. H. Thuente, K. Trier, E. Waters, Y. Zubovic

### Senate Members Absent:

F. Borelli, W. Branson, C. Champion, N. Cothern, L. DeFonso, D. Edwards, S. Frey-Ridgway, R. Hess, G. Kartsounes, R. Kendall, C. Lawton, M. Masters, S. Sarratore, L. Schlager, P. Terry, W. Utesch, M. Wartell, L. Wootton, N. Younis

Representative from Medical Education: D. Koritnik

Faculty Members Present: L. Balthaser, P. Iadicola, D. Pfeffenberger

Visitors Present: N. Newell

#### Acta

- S. Hollander reconvened the meeting at 12:02 p.m. He asked if there was any additional "new business." There was none.
- 8. <u>Committee reports "for information only":</u>
  - a: Agenda Committee (Senate Reference No. 94-28) S. Hollander:
    - S. Hollander presented SR No. 94-28 (End-of-the-Year Committee Reports) for information only.
  - b. Educational Policy Committee (Senate Reference No. 94-29) B. Bulmahn:
    - B. Bulmahn read SR No. 94-29 (Partnership for Statewide Education Home Institutions) and presented it for information only.
    - J. Haw: Do we anticipate that the Educational Policy Committee will consider this as part of its response to the resolution passed last time regarding IPSE courses?
    - B. Bulmahn: Given that this is part of a large topic, this could come under discussion, however, this is a done deal.
- J. Clausen: Nobody asked us (EPC) before telling us to announce this information.

- c. Agenda Committee (Senate Reference No. 94-30) S. Hollander:
- S. Hollander presented SR No. 94-30 (Senators for 1995-96) for information only.
  - d. P. Iadicola: These are the recommendations that the Budgetary Affairs Subcommittee submitted to the Chancellor. We met at the beginning of the academic year and discussed our previous recommendations. In consultation with the Chancellor as well as with Vice Chancellors we developed these recommendations. Many of them are a continuation of our previous recommendations. We met with the Chancellor a couple of months ago and have gone through these recommendations. There are some points of slight disagreement in terms of the enactment of these, although I think we are working together in terms of trying to achieve these to some extent. I'll take any questions people may have. (There were no questions.)
  - e. Computer Users Advisory Subcommittee (Senate Reference No. 94-32) Hollander:
    - S. Hollander: Prof. Abbott, who chairs the Computer Users Advisory Subcommittee and who was present at the meeting last week, was to give both a report on SR No. 94-32 (Computers Users Advisory Subcommittee: recommendations regarding computer classrooms and laboratories and campus computing systems) and a brief report from the subcommittee which was not able to get an annual report in along with the others. He's not here; you will have to make do with what is attached to the agenda.
- 9. The general good and welfare of the University:
  - J. Clausen: I would just like to remind people that the minutes of the last Diversity Council meeting were sent to everybody on campus on e-mail and, on behalf of the committee-even if they don't agree with me--I would like to thank everybody who sent comments so far and would really like to invite people's suggestions as to what they would like to see the Diversity Council doing, in addition to criticisms that you have of our definition.
  - S. Hollander read the following on behalf of M. Downs: I am especially grateful to Bob Barrett who comes now to the end of his term as Speaker of the Purdue University Faculty. Bob's willingness to serve and his good heartedness are enormous campus resources. I am confident he will return to the Senate again soon in some valuable capacity.

I must also express to Mitch Sherr, our parliamentarian, and to Jeff Wilson, the sergeant-at-arms, the appreciation of the Senate for their faithful and effective service. And the same, with more emphasis, to Barbara Blauvelt, the indispensable cog in this remarkable machine, the secretary of the Senate and the whole faculty for fourteen years now. Time has not withered nor custom staled the quality of her performance. And thanks at last, without discrimination, to all Senators, those departing and those returning; but concerning two departing I will say more: Julius Smulkstys and Fred Kirchhoff are leaving and will not, unless their fortunes decline, be back. I have known them both for more than twenty

years as friends and colleagues and now that I've gotten used to their flaws and sillinesses, they're not going to be here anymore. Good luck, friends, I will miss you.

# 10. Memorial resolution: Harold Crowder (Senate Reference No. 94-33):

R. Gillespie read the memorial resolution for Harold Crowder. A moment of silence was observed.

11. The meeting adjourned at 12:10 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Barbara L. Blauvelt Secretary of the Faculty