## Minutes of the Fourth Regular Meeting of the Tenth Senate Indiana University-Purdue University at Fort Wayne December 10, 1990 Noon, Kettler G46

- 1. Call to order
- 2. Approval of the minutes of November 12, 1990
- 3. Acceptance of the agenda J. Switzer
- 4. Reports of the Speakers of the Faculties
  - a. Purdue University A. Finco
  - b. Indiana University M. Downs
- 5. Report of the Presiding Officer W. Frederick (Senate Reference No. 90-6)
- 6. Committee reports requiring action
- 7. Question time (Senate Reference No. 90-7)
- 8. New business
  - a. Educational Policy Committee (Senate Document SD 90-11) J. Haw
  - b. University Resources Policy Committee (Senate Document SD 90-12) A.

Friedel

University Resources Policy Committee (Senate Document SD 90-13) - A.

# Friedel

- 9. Committee reports "for information only"
- 10. The general good and welfare of the University
- 11. Adjournment

c.

Presiding Officer: W. Frederick Parliamentarian: S. Harroff Sergeant-at-Arms: R. Barrett

Senate Members Present:

M. Auburn, J. Blakemore, F. Borelli, G. Bullion, B. Bulmahn, J. Carnaghi, D. Cox, V. Craig, M. Downs, J. Eichenauer, A. Finco, A. Friedel, J. Haw, R. Hawley, R. Jeske, A. Karim, N. Kelley, D. Kruse, J. Lantz, J. Lutz, M. Mansfield, J. Meyers, R. Novak, D. Oberstar, S. Sarratore, J. Scherz, D. Schmidt, A. Shupe, S. Skekloff, J. Smulkstys, J. Sunderman, J. Switzer, G. Szymanski, W. Unsell, K. Wakley, E. Waters

Senate Members Absent:

E. Blumenthal, H. Broberg, A. Chatterjea, P. Conn, S. Dhawale, E. Foley, F. Kirchhoff, J. Klotz, J. Manzer,

R. Miers, J. Owen, J. Silver, M. H. Thuente, W. Walker

Faculty Members Present: L. Balthaser, L. Griffin, D. Mauritzen, D. McCants, M. Souers

Visitors Present: J. Dahl, J. Herman, N. Newell, J. Scher, R. Steiner

Attachments:

"<u>Approval of replacement member of the Educational Policy Committee</u>" (SD 90-11) "<u>University Housing</u>" (SD 90-12) "Smoking Lounges" (SD 90-13)

#### Acta

- 1. <u>Call to order:</u> W. Frederick called the meeting to order at 12:03 p.m.
- 2. <u>Approval of the minutes of November 12, 1990:</u> The minutes were approved as distributed.
- 3. <u>Acceptance of the agenda:</u>

J. Switzer moved acceptance of the agenda as distributed. Seconded.

Motion passed on a voice vote.

- 4. <u>Reports of the Speakers of the Faculties:</u>
  - a. <u>Purdue University</u>:

On Wednesday, November 28, Don Schmidt and I attended an Intercampus Faculty Council meeting. The most significant item on the agenda was consideration of a proposal about the transferability of IVTC and Regional Campus credits. The proposal is an effort to respond to a situation created by a course articulation agreement between the IUPUI Faculty and IVTC Indianapolis. They entered into the articulation agreement because a 1987 Indiana Senate Concurrent Resolution urges "all state universities and Indiana Vocational Technical College to enter into articulation agreements to facilitate the transfer of credits from courses successfully completed by students enrolled in Indiana Vocational Technical College's associate of science degree program." After a lengthy discussion of the issue Vice President Ringle appointed Dr. Carolyn Jones, a member of President Beering's staff, the Registrar of Purdue University, Betty Suddarth and me to write a substitute proposal for discussion and action at the February 20, 1991 meeting of the Intercampus Faculty Council meeting. The action of the Intercampus Faculty Council will require the ratification of the faculty governing bodies of main and regional campuses, including this Senate.

Hewitt Associates is still trying to organize focus groups on the campuses of Purdue University. You may, recall the focus groups will be discussing the preliminary decisions of the TIAA-CREF Retirement Task Force, decisions about expanding investment opportunities in our retirement program, and whether transferability and cashability should be a part of the program. Evidently Hewitt Associates tried to recruit individuals for a December focus group on this campus. It is my understanding that they were not able to obtain an appropriate sample from the IPFW faculty and administrative staff. Perhaps the end of the semester and demands of the holiday season prevented people from accepting focus group membership during December. Hewitt now plans to organize the focus group for this campus for a January 23 meeting. If you are asked to serve on the focus group, please accept. Your opinions about the topics to be discussed are important and valuable to the Retirement Task Force. **I repeat, if invited to serve on the focus group, please accept the invitation**.

Regional Campus representation on the West Lafayette Senate becomes effective in January. I don't believe that we have considered that, yet. I can tell you that Purdue Calumet campus will not be sending a representative. Perhaps it is time for the Faculty Affairs Committee of this body to make some recommendation to our Senate for consideration as to whether we will have a representative on the West Lafayette Senate. We know we are invited to have one member.

#### b. <u>Indiana University</u>:

M. Downs: I have only one item: I would appreciate hearing from people who have had a chance to review the changes that have been recommended by the University Faculty Council Fringe Benefits Committee in reference to early-retirement packages. If anybody has any comments--approvals or disapprovals--that they want to register with me, they should do so before I ask for formal approval or disapproval from the faculty on this campus. This is in regard to the Indiana University early-retirement program.

5. Report of the Presiding Officer:

W. Frederick referred the body to SR No. 90-6 [Report on Senate Documents].

### 6. <u>Committee reports requiring action:</u>

There were no committee reports for action.

7. Question time (Senate Reference No. 90-7):

Q: Last year, IU President Thomas Ehrlich appointed a Task Force on Housing for Students at Indiana University Regional Campuses. He charged the task force with studying issues relevant to regional-campus dormitories and with making recommendations "for consideration by the chancellor of each campus as well as the president and the trustees of Indiana University."

1. Is it true that, at the insistence of administrators of Purdue University in West Lafayette, this campus did not participate in the task force's study?

A: J. Lantz: The answer to that question is no, that is not true. There was a discussion between me and Tom Ehrlich and me and Steve Beering and there had been a discussion between Tom Ehrlich and Steve Beering.

2. What is the opinion of the IPFW administration concerning the task force's principal recommendation, that "regional campuses of Indiana University should not be prohibited from developing student housing on or near their campuses in cooperation with private developers and under criteria and standards to be developed [by the task force)"?

A: J. Lantz: I have the report with me that the Housing Task Force presented last June to the IV Board of Trustees. There was great discussion about the task force report and the task force asked that they be allowed to go on and, in fact, do some more studying to come up with criteria and standards. The report, in essence, and I could read it to you but it's thirteen pages long, is that the task force found that there was no state statute or other reasons why we could not have student housing on regional campuses. The task force also suggested that each campus was different and that each campus should be able to proceed with studying the issue and coming to resolution with approval of the Board of Trustees and the planning people at Bloomington at their own rate. I should tell you that the task force, to the best that I can find out, has not met again to put forward the kind of criteria and standards that, they suggested that they would. There were a couple of recommendations: the first one had to do with what they called "mixed-use" housing on campuses. The developers that they met with and talked to about the whole idea suggested that they would be mostly interested in the mixed use. The mixed use is that there would be students and other people who could come in and rent. The University is not in favor of that.

Secondly, the recommendation strongly- suggested that it would probably be to everyone's best advantage if private developers would build some kind of student housing (apartments) on land that was contiguous or near the campuses, not on university-owned land. Although they did not preclude the opportunity for those kinds of proposals to be put on university land. The last part of the report asked a lot of questions that they felt needed to be answered before student housing could be moved forward. Those questions had to do with the other costs that are in student housing that have nothing to do with the buildings--that have to do with supervision in the units, counseling, computer facility, food service, etc.

It appears to me that there are several campuses at this point: that will probably not be pursuing the whole issue of student housing. President Ehrlich, says that student housing. Is, not a high priority of the university. I read into that that he doesn't think university money should be put into, this.

W. Frederick: Would you be willing to put a copy of that on reserve in. the

library?

J. Lantz: Sure. It is called "Policy Seminar, Board of Trustees, June 9, 1990: Discussion of Preliminary Report of Housing for Students at Indiana, University Regional Campuses."

3. To what extent have IPFW administrators considered the task force's report and to what extent have IPFW administrators requested consideration of this report by relevant faculty-governance bodies?

A: J. Lentz: I circulated this report to members of the executive stall', and we have had discussions about this report at staff meetings. We have also incorporated a report that Jack Dahl came forward with three weeks ago ... We have an outline for planning that will come out after the, first of the year that will obviously include discussions on housing as a part of their planning process.

M. Downs: In the first question it asks, "Is it true that, at the insistence of administrators of Purdue University in West Lafayette, this campus did not participate in the task force's study?" As I understood it we did not participate in the study and that this came about as the result of conversations between our chancellor and President Ehrlich and President Beering. My observation is that perhaps insistence isn't the right word to use here, but that instead a decision was made not to participate and, that it was an. agreement between the three administrators involved that this campus would not participate.

J. Lantz: An agreement between the three is certainly correct.

- 8. <u>New business</u>:
  - a. <u>Educational Policy Committee (SD 90-11) J. Haw:</u>

J. Haw moved to approve SD 90-11 (Approval of replacement member of the Educational Policy Committee). Seconded.

Motion to approve passed on a voice vote.

b. <u>University Resources Policy Committee (SD 90-12) - A Friedel</u>:

<u>A Friedel moved to approve</u> SD 90-12 (University Housing). Seconded.

Motion to approve passed on a voice vote.

c. <u>University Resources Policy Committee (SD 90-13) - A Friedel:</u>

<u>A. Friedel moved to approve</u> SD 90-13 (Smoking Lounges). Seconded.

Motion to approve passed on a voice vote.

9. <u>Committee reports "for information only":</u> There were no committee reports.

## 10. <u>The general good and welfare of the University</u>:

J. Carnaghi: I am looking for volunteers. We have a deer herd problem that I have talked to you about before. We are going to take some action, and we think that it is probably as humane as you can find. We are going to capture the deer without using tranquilizers. We will be using nets. Professionals will do this. They will net the deer, take them by van to northern Michigan, and release them into some acreage that this gentleman owns. One of the participants will be the Department of Natural Resources. There will be people here from the shelter center of the city. Our deer have quite populated the McKay farm. Don Katter was over there last week and counted 24 standing on the lawn of the caretaker. We figure we have about 40 or 50 deer on 152 acres of land. We lost seven of them to Coliseum Boulevard last winter--cars hit them or they hit cars. We expect the population to be even larger because they had a very fine crop of young ones. Anyway, they are there. We are going to remove them. It is not going to be pretty catching 200-pound wild animals. They will be frightened. We are going to move them, and this is the only way we can move them without using tranquilizers or shooting them. If we do not move them successfully, we may have to take other actions. They are becoming a nuisance. The bottom line is we need to form a human wall. We need about a hundred volunteers to circle the McKay Farm. It will happen after the holidays, but before we are back in session next semester. We need people standing around making lots of noise as we are sloping over with DNR's helicopter and 4-wheel motorcycles driving these deer- into nets. As deer try to escape areas that don't have nets, we'll have people there making lots of noise. Is it dangerous? We don't think so. But we will have you sign a statement. We do need to keep them in the area or they will be at Paper Mill Bluff, on Coliseum Boulevard, and at Glenbrook. If any of you are interested in participating, or if you have friends who would be interested, I'll hang around after the meeting and we'll talk about it.... If we don't capture them, the alternatives are limited. The next time we'll just get permits and do away with them, and we don't want to have to do that.

R. Jeske: Who are the professionals who are going to do this?

J. Carnaghi: It is a fellow who is a biologist out of Davison, Michigan. I think he had been affiliated with Western Michigan University until he realized how profitable this could be. He is doing this for several army bases where they used to shoot them. I found this person through one of our classes. A student who heard about this problem came to my office and told me about this firm. Don Katter, Dick Dirrim, and people from the mayor's office, DNR, and the Department of Conservation went up there this summer and visited this place. They came away thinking it was terrific. I should add that they are going to do this for us at no charge because they see the whole state of Indiana as potential customers. We will have a lot of DNR people and the Fort Wayne Police Department will participate. They see this as a real market because we have nothing like this in Indiana.

B. Bulmahn: Speaking as an ex-farmer and someone who has chased a reasonable amount of livestock in my time, I would not recommend this as an activity for anyone with health problems. Be sure your life insurance is paid up. Be sure you're in good shape.

J. Carnaghi: I should say we are not going to chase them. The professionals will be manning the nets. We are to stand there to keep them from escaping the areas. You may not even see any deer, but then you may see several deer. We have a big plot of land over there. If we have 200 people we will probably station them every 50 yards and if we have 400 people probably every 25 yards. The purpose of the volunteers is to keep the deer moving toward the netting area where these professionals will turn them upside down; if they are bucks they will remove their antlers so they don't gouge each other as they are transported. Again, it is not going to be fun. There may be a few who will break loose and will have to be destroyed.

R. Hawley: Is it true that you will provide each volunteer with a videotape of Walt Disney's Bambi?

J. Carnaghi: I hadn't thought of that, but that's a good idea. Seriously, if you want to participate, we would love to have you. The Angola Bow Club is going to come in--not with their bows--and help. We certainly didn't want to exclude the faculty. This is as humane as we can be.....

J. Switzer: I have two items: One is an announcement from the Agenda Committee regarding the January Senate meeting. The January Senate meeting was scheduled for January 21, but that comes in direct conflict with the memorial celebration on campus to celebrate the life of Martin Luther King, Jr. We have rescheduled the January Senate meeting for January 28. So mark your calendars. The document deadline will be moved from January 7 to January 14. The celebration for Dr. King will take place in Walb Memorial Union in Rooms 224-6-8 from 12:00-1:30. We encourage anyone who can to attend that celebration.

One of the people in the department I represent asked me to share this concern. I will just read to you what Dick Hess forwarded to me. This is in response to a letter to the editor that appeared in The Journal-Gazette on November 28. Dick says:

I think that faculty should be provided with answers to the following questions so that we may respond to inquiries intelligently. The idea, for example, that a faculty member would advise a student that it isn't safe to walk on campus at night suggests ignorance on the part of at least one faculty member. We would all benefit from knowing what the factual conditions are.

The questions that Dick raises are these:

- 1) I know that *The Journal-Gazette* has published a retraction of the point asserted in the Holloman letter that "last fall there were several rapes on the IPFW campus at night." Is there any fear of attack which students, staff and faculty ought to have?
- 2) Are there any plans to inform students, staff and faculty of the need to become aware of potential danger?
- 3) Are there any plans to improve the lighting conditions on campus so that those who have to use remote parking areas can see to get to their cars, especially late at night?
- 4) What is and should be the response of the campus police to poor lighting on campus and the potential for mischief which such conditions foster?
- 5) Is IPFW taking the fear expressed by Gaye Holloman seriously, or is our response that this is a letter from a student who is responding to a poorly expressed opinion of an administrator?

J. Lantz: I need first of all to address the last question. We certainly did take her letter seriously. We did have a concern that she had talked about "the numerous rapes on campus last fall. "That simply is not true. You may remember that last spring we had a young woman accosted in the hallway. That is the only report that we have had, and I sent you a letter that told you about that. It is awfully hard to say in letters "no new rapes this time." I don't know how, to tell you or keep you informed that that does not happen. What we have talked about and are putting together is a safety committee because we feel education is the very best route we can go. We also feel that if we need to address any problem areas that we have a plan. We do replace the lights in the parking lots; they do burn out. We do not do that on a daily basis. We also have tried to make people aware that if they want to be escorted by our police, they will do that. John checked with Chief Roby. There have been very few requests for that service. I think any time any of us--mate or female--are not cautious about protecting our own persons, we are stupid. When I leave this building and go to my parking spot, which is not far away, I take all precautions. I think we have taken it very seriously. We will continue. We are waiting for two student names for the safety committee. We will be asking the committee to look at safety and make recommendations.

M. Downs: I think the fear expressed in the letter should be taken seriously, but there are a couple of comments in the letter that I would like to put into some kind of proportion. The letter said that administrators have covered up information about this, and I think the record should show that this was not done, it has not been done, and it will not be done. Second, that the campus police are too busy giving parking tickets to accompany people to their cars. That is not the case. I have never heard of anybody who asked for someone to go with them to their car where Safety and Security has not been willing and able to do so with dispatch. I think that those two accusations have to be addressed directly, and I think that those two aspects of the letter are untrue and should be taken as seriously as the fear expressed in the letter.

J, Lantz: Most of you have known me for a long time. I am not big at secrets because I don't think there is anything that should be secret. So anything that has gone on on this campus--good bad, whatever--I'd tell you. I try to do it in a letter to everybody who draws a paycheck at this campus. You will remember, I think last year, I sent you a letter. The first page was the good news and when you turned it over it was ugly. I pledge to, as long as I am in this job, tell you all I know. We will cover up nothing. You may not like what you here, but we're not covering up anything. I appreciate Senator Downs' opinion that we have not covered up anything, and I also appreciate his suggestion and information that the police have never been too busy. In fact, .l would go on with one other item and that has to do with the huge number of tickets. We keep hearing that we have been ticketing everybody in the world. At one point I asked that we do a check and, in fact, they had given fewer tickets this year to that date than they did the year before.

R. Jeske: Do we know how many police officers patrol the campus on any one shift?

J. Camaghi: We have only seven. Seven police officers cover seven hours a day, seven days a week, 24 hours a day. The most we have at any one shift is three police officers. We try to concentrate most of our officers when most of the people are here. We have supplemented this group with some student help and with what we call "security officers." In fact, just Friday we had one of our police officers announce he is leaving to go to the Fort Wayne Police Department for considerably more money. When you replace police officers you also have to put them through six months of training at the police academy and then we lose them. I said rather than another police officer, let's look for two security people so we can get more bodies on the street. Should we lose another officer, because we have another one being courted by the city, we will certainly want to keep no fewer than six. The situation is that we have the same number of police officers now as when I came here ten years ago. We had 8,000 students, now we have 12,000. We are going to have to spend some money to increase the police force if in fact people have perceptions of fear and all these things we are reading about. So three is the most we ever have at one time and often times we have one. We supplement them with security folks.

R. Hawley: You also have someone in the office who can contact police.

J. Carnaghi: That is right.... We are not spending enough for police, but we have without a doubt one of the safest campuses. Now, am I waiting for something to happen before we expand? No, but at the same time I don't want a policeman in every corner. I think we have a nice balance. I think our chief has done a nice job spreading the staff. When I came here ten years ago, every other light was lit. We were still fighting the energy problems. Not only have we lit every light, but we have those sodium lights and we have added several lights.... We have total darkness all the way around us which adds to this perception of total darkness. The parking garage will bring a great deal of light to that edge of campus. I don't want to spend everybody else's budget just on police work, but we have to have enough where people feel safe. I think we are approaching the point where we have to do things like we're doing. We are trading one police officer for two, non-academy trained people.

J. Lantz: Last fall President Beering reported to the Commission on Higher Education about how the university met its performance objectives. Since he reports for- this campus and he gave copies of this to the West Lafayette Senate, I am making copies available for anyone who would like them so that you can also see his response.

11. The meeting adjourned at 12:50 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Barbara L. Blauvelt Secretary of the Faculty